

PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMITTEE	AGENDA ITEM No. 3
26 OCTOBER 2010	PUBLIC REPORT

Cabinet Members responsible:	Councillor Marco Cereste – Leader of the Council and Cabinet Member for Growth, Strategic Planning and Economic Development Councillor Peter Hiller – Cabinet Member for Housing, Neighbourhoods and Planning
Contact Officers:	Gemma Wildman (Principal Officer) Andrew Edwards (Head of PDP)
	Tel. 863824

PETERBOROUGH LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK: PETERBOROUGH SITE ALLOCATIONS (PROPOSED SUBMISSION VERSION)

RECOMMENDATIONS	
FROM : Head of Peterborough Delivery Partnership	Deadline date : Cabinet – 8 November 2010
That the Committee offers any comments on the draft Peterborough Site Allocations DPD (Proposed Submission Version) before it is presented to Cabinet and then Council, for subsequent approval by Council for the purposes of public consultation and submission to the Secretary of State.	

1. ORIGIN OF REPORT

1.1 This report is submitted to the Committee following approval of the Preferred Options version of the Peterborough Site Allocations for the purposes of public participation in January 2010, and following the ensuing public participation and further evidence gathering since that date.

2. PURPOSE AND REASON FOR REPORT

2.1 The purpose of this report is to enable the Committee to comment on the draft Site Allocations Development Plan Document (Proposed Submission version) before it is presented to Cabinet on 8 November. Cabinet will then be asked to recommend the document for approval by Full Council for the purposes of public consultation and submission to the Secretary of State.

2.2 The recommended Site Allocations DPD (Proposed Submission version) is available at Appendix 1, with the exception of the accompanying 'Proposals Map' which is available to view on the Council's website at <http://democracy.peterborough.gov.uk/ecSDDisplay.aspx?NAME=Peterborough%20Local%20Development%20Framework%3a%20Peterbor&ID=380&RPID=182775&sch=doc&cat=12992&path=12992> and copies have been placed in each of the Members Group Rooms.

2.3 This report is for the Committee to consider under its Terms of Reference No. 2.6.1.5 of Part 3 of the Constitution 'To be consulted by, and comment on, the Executive's draft proposals for Development Plan Documents within the Local Development Framework at each formal stage in preparation'.

3. TIMESCALE

Is this a Major Policy Item/Statutory Plan?	YES	If Yes, date for relevant Council Meeting	8 December 2010
		Date for submission to Government Dept	Communities and Local Government - Spring 2010

4. PETERBOROUGH SITE ALLOCATIONS DPD (PROPOSED SUBMISSION VERSION)

- 4.1 The Site Allocations DPD is probably the second most important statutory planning document for Peterborough, after the Core Strategy (see Cabinet agenda papers of 12 October 2009 for full details of the Core Strategy). For the public, it is probably the most sensitive planning document, for the reason that, unlike the Core Strategy, it allocates, on a map, specific sites for new development (and hence the public can see precisely what is proposed in their community).
- 4.2 In short, the Core Strategy sets the headlines and 'broad' areas for growth; the Site Allocations DPD translates the Core Strategy into actual proposed development sites.
- 4.3 We are reaching the final stages of preparing the Site Allocations DPD. Numerous consultations have taken place over the past 2-3 years (see Cabinet agenda papers of 8 February, for example, for details of consultation), all of which have influenced what is to be included in what is known as the "Pre-Submission" version of the plan. If approved by the Council, it will be made available for formal public comments and then "Submitted" to the Secretary of State, together with any comments received from the public (i.e. the public comments submitted at this stage are, in simple terms, NOT considered by the Council, but rather an Inspector appointed by the Secretary of State. Under the current regulations, the Inspector has the final say on whether to accept or reject such objections).
- 4.4 Main features of the recommended Site Allocations DPD (pre-submission version):
- Main locations for new **dwelling**s are (and delineated on a OS based map to be made available to CMT at its meeting)
 - District Centres - approximately 1,147
 - Elsewhere within the urban area of Peterborough - approximately 4,053
 - Urban Extensions including Hampton, Paston Reserve/Norwood, Stanground South and Great Haddon - approximately 14,015
 - Key Service Centres (Eye/Eye Green and Thorney) - approximately 529
 - Limited Growth Villages (Ailsworth, Barnack, Castor, Glinton, Helpston, Newborough, Northborough and Wittering) - approximately 448
 - Major locations for new **employment** at Alwalton Hill, Great Haddon and Red Brick Farm.
 - Confirmation of a **Regional Freight Interchange** at Stanground (Magna Park).
 - Other policies (and delineation on a map as applicable) on issues such as green wedges and safeguarded land
 - Unlike an earlier draft, it no longer includes any **Gypsy and Traveller** sites other than the proposed transit site at Norwood.
- 4.5 Members should be aware that the Site Allocations DPD has been prepared on the **assumption that the Core Strategy is found 'sound'** by the Core Strategy Inspector (with or without relatively minor changes). The Core Strategy Hearing sessions are scheduled to have closed on 15th October, and we hope (but no guarantee) that the Inspector's Report will be with us in December, ideally for Council on 8 December. If the Inspector finds major fault with the Core Strategy (either finding the plan 'unsound' or making significant changes to the plan to make it 'sound'), then the Site Allocations DPD is likely to require changes or even a complete re-think. This may subsequently result in the Site Allocations DPD: (i) being pulled from Council on 8 December 2010, reconsidered by Cabinet, and submitted to Council at a later date; (ii) adjustments made directly by Council

to ensure it remains in line with the Core Strategy; or (iii) a delegated authority to amend the Site Allocations DPD in advance of Council in December to ensure it remains in line with the Core Strategy. We regard any of these scenarios to be unlikely, but there is the risk that one will occur. This matter will be further considered by Cabinet and Council in due course, when hopefully the picture will be clearer and we will be able to put in place a process so as not to unnecessarily hold up the preparation of the Site Allocations DPD.

- 4.6 For clarity, Members should also note that the Site Allocations DPD covers the entire unitary area of the authority **except the City Centre**. The City Centre is subject to its own equivalent plan (the City Centre Area Action Plan) due in 2011.

5. CONSULTATION

- 5.1 Extensive consultation has already taken place on the emerging Site Allocations DPD, in line with the following summary table:

Stage	Description	Date
Evidence gathering	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identification of main issues • Submission of approximately 200 potential development sites. 	July 2007 - Oct 2008
Issues and Options	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public consultation on all potential sites. 	Oct 2008 - Jan 2009
Preferred Options	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public consultation on the Council's preferred sites. 	March 2010 - April 2010
Cemetery Provision Options	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public Consultation relating specifically to Cemetery Provision. 	August - September 2010
Proposed Submission	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Final opportunity for public consultation on the proposed sites. 	Due Early 2011
Submission and examination	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Allocations Document submitted to government along with all public comments received during the proposed submission consultation. • Independent Examination by a Planning Inspector. 	April 2011 and Aug 2011
Adoption	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Council adopts Final Plan. 	Dec 2011
Monitoring and Review	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Each year, identified targets are monitored. 	On going

- 5.2 All of the consultation to date has been carefully logged, considered and subsequently influenced the final version of the document. A report summarising the consultation is being prepared, and will be made available to the public on the website. Whilst, obviously, we have not been able to meet everyone's requests, we have attempted to prepare the document on a collaborative and iterative basis, whilst at the same time ensuring it conforms to the guiding principles of the Core Strategy and national planning policy.
- 5.3 The latest two consultations included the 'preferred options' consultation, which was carried out in March - April 2010. We received over 4,000 comments, with a particularly high level of response from Eye and Helpston residents (the vast majority of which objected to the allocation of new development in the two villages).
- 5.4 Some representations we received came from landowners supplying new information, especially on sites we did not list as 'preferred' choices. Such information has been very helpful in reconsidering those sites, and has resulted in changes to some of the allocations. These changes are explained with the early pages of the document itself.

- 5.5 The most recent consultation took place over the summer, and focussed on options for cemetery provision. The responses received, together with analysis of the technical abilities of option sites to best meet cemetery needs, has resulted in a recommended site to the east of Ailsworth to be selected.

Consideration prior to Planning Environment Protection Committee

- 5.6 Prior to this being presented to Planning Environment Protection Committee, the emerging 'Proposed Submission' Site Allocations DPD has been presented to all seven **Neighbourhood Councils** throughout September 2010. A numbers of issues were raised at those meetings, and a set of minutes are attached at Appendix 2. Members are asked to read these minutes alongside this agenda report.
- 5.7 Next, LDF Scrutiny is scheduled to have been presented with a draft of the proposed development sites on 18 October 2010. Issues raised at that scrutiny meeting will be orally given to Members.

Future consultation

- 5.8 After the Proposed Submission version has been considered by Cabinet and then, hopefully, approved by Council, it will be published. There will then be a consultation opportunity for the public to lodge formal representations on the 'soundness' of the document (consultation due in Jan/Feb 2011). The document, and any representations made, will be submitted to the Secretary of State, who will arrange for a public examination by an independent inspector from the Planning Inspectorate. The inspector will produce a report with recommendations, but these are binding on the Council.

6. ANTICIPATED OUTCOMES

- 6.1 It is anticipated that Committee will offer comments on the draft document. These will be presented to Cabinet, which will take them into account in reaching a decision on recommending the Site Allocations DPD (Proposed Submission version) to Council.

7. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

- 7.1 Committee is recommended to make its comments known to assist Cabinet in reaching its decision. Cabinet will be recommended to approve Site Allocations DPD (Proposed Submission version) because production of the Site Allocations DPD is a statutory requirement.

8. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

- 8.1 The alternative options of not producing a Site Allocations DPD or not taking into account comments made at the Preferred Options stage were rejected, as the Council would not be fulfilling its statutory requirement.

9. IMPLICATIONS

- 9.1 The Site Allocations DPD will have implications for all sectors of society and all wards and parishes of the local authority area. The process of sustainability appraisal, based on social, economic and environmental criteria, ensures that all potential implications are taken into account in a systematic way.
- 9.2 Legal Implications: The Council would be in breach of planning legislation if it did not comply with the plan making regulations.
- 9.3 Financial Implications: There are some immediate direct financial implications flowing from the approval of the Site Allocations DPD (Proposed Submission), and these relate to consultation costs and, in due course, paying the Planning Inspectorate for their services in examining the submitted document. However, these are items that have been anticipated and planned for, and budgets are set aside for this purpose.

- 9.4 Indirectly, there are other financial implications. For example, a small number of the proposed new sites for development are owned by the Council. Allocations can affect land values, usually positively but not always. However, plan making decisions of the Council must not take into account any financial gain or loss of its property holdings, other than (as with all development sites) consideration as to whether the proposed use is deliverable. A key aspect of this test is whether the landowner (i.e. the Council in some cases) is willing to develop the site for the intended proposed use. We understand that all sites affected by the Site Allocations DPD which the Council has a direct interest in are 'deliverable', and that satisfies that particular plan making test. No assessment of whether this would result in a financial book gain or loss to a Council asset has been undertaken by planning officers, nor would it be taken into account if it had.
- 9.5 Even more indirectly, the detailed financial implications of the growth that will occur on the new development sites will be assessed as individual development schemes develop, and these will be incorporated into the Council's Capital and Revenue financial planning processes as appropriate.

10. BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

Used to prepare this report, in accordance with the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985)

A vast amount of evidence has been compiled as part of the plan making process and is either already available on the Council website or will be made available as part of the consultation and examination process to take place in 2011

Attached:

Appendix 1 – Proposed Submission Site Allocations DPD
Appendix 2 – Neighbourhood Council minutes

This page is intentionally left blank